

## Complex antiderivatives and Goursat's Theorem

**Theorem.** Suppose  $U$  is open and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous. Then  $f$  possesses a complex antiderivative on  $U$  if and only if

$$\int_{\Delta} f dz = 0$$

for any triangular path  $\Delta$  in  $U$ .

Before getting to the proof, there is an immediate corollary:

**Corollary.** Suppose  $U$  is a simply-connected domain and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is complex differentiable. Then  $f$  possesses a complex antiderivative on  $U$ .

*Proof of corollary.* If  $f$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -differentiable function on a simply connected domain, then Cauchy's Theorem implies  $\int_{\gamma} f dz = 0$  for any closed curve  $\gamma$ , and in particular for triangular  $\gamma$ .  $\square$

*Proof of theorem.* ( $\implies$ ) Suppose  $F'(z) = f(z)$ , and let  $\gamma$  be any smooth curve from in  $U$  a point  $\alpha$  to a point  $\beta$ . If we parametrize  $\gamma$  by  $z = z(t)$  with  $a \leq t \leq b$ , then by the *real* Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (FTC), applied to the real and imaginary parts of the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} f dz &= \int_a^b f(z(t))z'(t) dt = \int_a^b F'(z(t))z'(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt}\{F(z(t))\} dt = F(z(b)) - F(z(a)) = F(\beta) - F(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

This is a complex analogue of the first part of the real FTC.

In particular, if  $\Delta = [z_1, z_2, z_3, z_1]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta} f dz &= \int_{[z_1, z_2]} f dz + \int_{[z_2, z_3]} f dz + \int_{[z_3, z_1]} f dz \\ &= (F(z_2) - F(z_1)) + (F(z_3) - F(z_2)) + (F(z_1) - F(z_3)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

( $\impliedby$ ) Assume  $U$  is simply connected, and fix a  $z_0 \in U$ . Define  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$F(z) := \int_{P[z_0, z]} f(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

where  $P[z_0, z]$  is any polygonal path connecting  $z_0$  to  $z$ .

Observe that  $F$  is well-defined. Since  $U$  is open and connected, it is polygonally arcwise connected, so a polygonal path  $\gamma$  from  $z_0$  to  $z$  exists. Moreover, if  $\eta$  is another such path, then  $\gamma - \eta$  is a closed polygonal path. Since such a path can be decomposed as a sum of triangular paths, we conclude

$$0 = \int_{\gamma - \eta} f(\zeta) d\zeta = \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) d\zeta - \int_{\eta} f(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Hence, the two line integrals over  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$  agree.

It remains to show that  $F$  is an antiderivative of  $f$ , i.e. that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(z+h) - F(z)}{h} = f(z) \quad \forall z \in U.$$

Fix  $z$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $U$  is open, there exists an  $r > 0$  such that  $B(z, r) \subset U$ . Moreover, since  $f$  is continuous at  $z$ , there exists  $0 < \delta < r$  such that

$$|w - z| < \delta \implies |f(w) - f(z)| < \epsilon.$$

Now, fix a polygonal path  $\gamma$  from  $\zeta$  to  $z$ . If  $0 < |h| < r$ , then  $z+h \in B(z, r)$ , and so  $\gamma + [z, z+h]$  is a polygonal path from  $\zeta$  to  $z+h$ . Hence,

$$\frac{F(z+h) - F(z)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \left( \int_{\gamma + [z, z+h]} f(\zeta) d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) d\zeta \right) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{[z, z+h]} f(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

On the other hand, using the first half of this theorem, since 1 has the antiderivative  $\zeta$ ,

$$\int_{[z, z+h]} 1 d\zeta = \zeta \Big|_z^{z+h} = (z+h) - z = h,$$

whence

$$\frac{F(z+h) - F(z)}{h} - f(z) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{[z, z+h]} f(\zeta) d\zeta - f(z) \left[ \frac{1}{h} \int_{[z, z+h]} 1 d\zeta \right] = \frac{1}{h} \int_{[z, z+h]} f(\zeta) - f(z) d\zeta.$$

Thus, if  $|h| < \delta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{F(z+h) - F(z)}{h} - f(z) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{[z, z+h]} f(\zeta) - f(z) d\zeta \right| \leq \frac{1}{|h|} \int_{[z, z+h]} |f(\zeta) - f(z)| |dz| \\ &< \frac{1}{|h|} \int_{[z, z+h]} \epsilon |dz| = \frac{1}{|h|} \cdot \epsilon |h| = \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$