

classical limit of the geometric Langlands III (T. Panter) ^①
 (Freiburg, 1 Aug 2012)

Recall:

$$D_{\text{quoh}}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{oc}}, \mathcal{O}) = \bigsqcup_{(\gamma, \kappa) \in \pi_1(G)_{\text{tor}} \times \mathbb{Z}(G)^\wedge} D_{\text{quoh}}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{oc}}^\gamma, \mathcal{O}; \alpha)$$

$$D({}^L\text{Bun}, \mathcal{D}) = \bigsqcup_{(\alpha, \gamma) \in \pi_1({}^L G) \times \pi_0(\mathbb{Z}({}^L G))^\wedge} D({}^L\text{Bun}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}; \gamma)$$

$$D({}^L\text{Bun}, \mathcal{D}) = \bigsqcup_{\alpha, \gamma} D({}^L\text{Bun}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}; \gamma)$$

\uparrow
 ${}^L\text{Bun} / \mathbb{Z}_0({}^L G)$

computations for GL_1 suggests that the geometric Langlands correspondence should identify

$$D_{\text{quoh}}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{oc}}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D({}^L\text{Bun}, \mathcal{D})$$

or

$$D_{\text{quoh}}(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{Z}_{\text{oc}}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D({}^L\text{Bun}, \mathcal{D})$$

+ should identify pieces labelled by the same data.

This modification also ends up not being enough, since $D({}^L\text{Bun}, \mathcal{D})$ behaves as the derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves on a smooth space. The other side doesn't.

Aside

smoothness is a categorical notion

Def if \mathcal{E} is a ω -complete dg-category, then $x \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{E})$ is called compact if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(x, -)$ commutes w/ colimits

The full subcategory of compact objects in \mathcal{E} is denoted $\text{Perf}(\mathcal{E})$.

\mathcal{E} is said to be compactly generated if $\mathcal{E} \simeq \widehat{\text{Perf}(\mathcal{E})}$

Thm (N~~ew~~ Neeman, TT) X -quasi compact, quasi separated scheme.

$$\text{Perf}(D_{\text{qcoh}}(X)) = \text{Perf}(X)$$

↑
complexes locally qis to complexes of vector bundles

$$\text{and } D_{\text{qcoh}}(X) = \widehat{\text{Perf}(X)}$$

fact X is smooth iff $\text{Perf}(X) = D_{\text{qcoh}}(X)$

X is singular iff

$$\text{Perf}(X) \subsetneq D_{\text{qcoh}}(X)$$

NC-smoothness

\mathcal{E} -complete + ω -complete.

We say that \mathcal{E} is NC-smooth iff \mathcal{E} is compact viewed as an object in the category $\mathcal{E} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}$

Note X is smooth iff $\widehat{\text{Perf}(X)}$ is nc-smooth

If X is singular, it can happen that $\widehat{D}_{\text{coh}}(X)$ is not smooth. (3)

Def If X is a scheme/stack,

$\widehat{D}_{\text{coh}}(X) =$ stable derived category of X

!!
 $\text{Ind coh}(X) =$ category of ind-coherent sheaves.

↑
 Explicit model = unbounded complexes of injective (morphisms = homotopy classes of maps).

Have a localization functor

$$\text{Ind coh}(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{D}_{\text{coh}}(X)$$

which has a right adjoint

$$\square : \widehat{D}_{\text{coh}}(X) \longleftarrow \text{Ind coh}(X).$$

Have a sequence

$$\text{Perf}(X) = \widehat{D}_{\text{coh}}(X) \subset \widehat{D}_{\text{qcoh}}(X) \subset \text{Ind coh}(X)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \parallel \quad \quad \quad \parallel$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \widehat{\text{Perf}}(X) \quad \quad \quad \widehat{D}_{\text{coh}}(X)$$

Derived category of singularities:

$$D_{\text{sing}}^{\circ}(X) := \widehat{D}_{\text{coh}}(X) / \text{Perf}(X)$$

$$\widehat{D}_{\text{sing}}(X) = \text{Ind coh}(X) / \widehat{D}_{\text{qcoh}}(X)$$

Facts

- If U is a quasi-compact scheme/stack, then $D(U, \mathcal{O})$ is compactly generated

- (Ardakov - Gaitsgory) $L_{\text{Bun}} = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$
 such that \uparrow quasi-compact

$$j_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow U_{\beta}$$

satisfy $j_{\alpha\beta}^*: D(U_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow D(U_{\beta}, \mathcal{O})$

preserve perfectness

- (Bainfeld - Gaitsgory) if U is a quasi-compact stack, then

$$\text{Perf}(D(U, \mathcal{O})) = D_{\text{coh}}(U, \mathcal{O}).$$

Moreover,

$$\text{Perf}(D(L_{\text{Bun}}, \mathcal{O})) = \text{!-extensions of compact objects in } D(U_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O})$$

$\Rightarrow D(L_{\text{Bun}}, \mathcal{O})$ is compactly generated.

Remark

Due to the above we should probably extend $D_{\text{qcoh}}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{oc}}, \mathcal{O})$ to $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{oc}}, \mathcal{O})$ however, $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{oc}}, \mathcal{O})$ is too big.

Reason: $c: \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{oc}}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(L_{\text{Bun}}, \mathcal{O})$ should intertwine tensorization and Hecke operators but should also be functorial in G .

Functoriality in G

If $P \subset G$ is parabolic
 $M = \text{Levi}(P)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}P \subset \mathcal{L}G \\ \iff & \mathcal{L}M = \text{Levi}(\mathcal{L}P) \end{aligned}$$

get:



we get integral transforms

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_P &= g_! f^* : \text{IndCoh}(\text{Loc}_M, \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\text{Loc}_G, \mathcal{O}) \\ \text{Eis}_P &= \tau_! \varphi^* : \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_{L_M}, \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_{L_G}, \mathcal{O}) \end{aligned}$$

and we should have

$$c_G \circ \text{Eis}_{L_P} = \Phi_P \circ c_M$$

- Remark
- Φ_P, Eis_{L_P} preserve coherence
 - Eis_{L_P} preserves perfectness
 - Φ_P does not preserve perfectness ^{compactness}

Guess on the LHS of the geometric Langlands correspondence we want the subcategory of $\text{IndCoh}(\text{Loc}_G, \mathcal{O})$ generated by $\Phi_P(\mathcal{D}(\text{Loc}_M, \mathcal{O}))$ for all P

How do we understand this subcategory?

Preview: This will be the subcategory consisting of all ind-coh sheaves w/ singular support in the nilpotent cone.

Singular support for Ind-coh sheaves on a derived stack

(6)

A derived stack X is defined by

$$h_X : (\mathbb{C}\text{-dZg}^{\leq 0}) \longrightarrow \text{simplicial sets}$$

Examples

1) If A is in $(\mathbb{C}\text{-dZg}^{\leq 0})$, then
define $\mathbb{R}\text{spec } A$ by

$$B \longmapsto \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, B)$$

2) If M is a smooth scheme and
 $E \rightarrow M$ a vector bundle,
 $s \in H^0(M, E)$, then

$X = \text{zeroes}(s)$ is a lci and has a
natural derived structure: R_X ,

$$R_X = (M, \mathcal{O}_{R_X}^\bullet)$$

\uparrow sheaf of d_Z -algebras s.t.
 $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{R_X}^\bullet) = \mathcal{O}_X$

3) L is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded \mathbb{C} - d_Z -lie algebra.
Then L gives a derived stack R_X

$$R_X := \mathbb{R}\text{spec}(\text{Sym } L_{\geq 1}[\mathbb{1}], \mathbb{Q}) / \exp L$$

$$X = \pi_0(R_X) = \text{MC}(L) / \exp L$$

\uparrow
Maurer-Cartan elements