

-1-

The long exact sequence of homology

$$\text{let } K: \dots \xrightarrow{d} K^i \xrightarrow{d} K^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

$$L: \dots \xrightarrow{d} L^i \xrightarrow{d} L^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

$$M: \dots \xrightarrow{d} M^i \xrightarrow{d} M^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

be cochain complexes. Additionally suppose that $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} L \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0$ is an exact triple.

In particular, for each n , the sequence $0 \rightarrow K^n \xrightarrow{f} L^n \xrightarrow{g} M^n \rightarrow 0$ is exact, and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K^n & \xrightarrow{f} & L^n & \xrightarrow{g} & M^n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow d & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f} & L^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g} & M^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Note that the rows of this diagram are exact.

We wish to construct a map $\beta: H^n(M) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(K)$.

Let x be a cycle in M^n (i.e. $d(x) = 0$). As $g: L^n \rightarrow M^n$ is surjective, there is some $\bar{x} \in L^n$ such that $g(\bar{x}) = x$. Now $g(d(\bar{x})) = d(g(\bar{x})) = d(x) = 0$, so $d(\bar{x}) \in \ker g: L^{n+1} \rightarrow M^{n+1}$. This implies that there is a unique $y \in K^{n+1}$ such that $f(y) = d(\bar{x})$. Furthermore, $f d(y) = d f(y) = d^2(\bar{x}) = 0$, which implies that y is a cycle. Define the map $\beta: H^n(M) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(K)$ by

$\beta: \text{class of } x \mapsto \text{class of } y$. We need to show that this map is well defined, i.e.:

- (1) Independent of the choice of \bar{x} .
- (2) Independent of the choice of representative of the class of x in $H^n(M)$.

(1) suppose $\bar{x}' \in L^n$ is such that $z(\bar{x}') = x$.

Then $\bar{x}' = \bar{x} + f(k)$ for some $k \in K^n$. So
 $d(\bar{x}') = d(\bar{x} + f(k)) = d(\bar{x}) + df(k) = f(y) + f(dk)$.
 Thus, choosing \bar{x}' instead of \bar{x} (in determining z)
 maps the class of x to the class of $y + dk$
 in $H^{n+1}(K)$. But the class of $y + dk$ is the same
 as the class of y .

(2) Suppose x' is a representative of the class of x
 in $H^n(M)$. Then $x' = x + dm$, for some $m \in M^{n-1}$.
 There is also some $l \in L^{n-1}$ such that
 $z(l) = m$. So $z(\bar{x} + dl) = z(\bar{x}) + z(dl) = x + dz(l)$
 $= x + dm = x'$. Now $d(\bar{x} + dl) = d(\bar{x})$, combining
 this with (1) implies that x and x' determine
 the same class in $H^{n+1}(K)$.

It is straightforward to verify that the maps
 $f: K^n \rightarrow L^n$ and $z: L^n \rightarrow M^n$ give well defined maps
 $f^*: H^n(K) \rightarrow H^n(L)$ and $z^*: H^n(L) \rightarrow H^n(M)$. Thus,
 we have a sequence of maps:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{z} H^{n+1}(K) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^{n+1}(L) \xrightarrow{z^*} H^{n+1}(M) \xrightarrow{z} H^{n+2}(K) \xrightarrow{f^*} \dots$$

prop This sequence is exact.

proof Exactness at f^* :

Let y be a cycle in K^{n+1} such that the class of
 y is in $\text{Im } z: H^n(M) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(K)$. Then by the constru-
 -ction of z , there is some $\bar{x} \in L^n$ such that $d(\bar{x}) = f(y)$.
 So $\text{Im } z \subset \text{Ker } f^*$. Conversely, suppose y is a cycle in K^{n+1}
 such that $f^*(y) = 0$. That is, $f(y) = d(\bar{x})$ for some $\bar{x} \in L^n$.

-3-

Then $d_z(\bar{x}) = z d(\bar{x}) = z f(y) = 0$. Hence $z(\bar{x})$ is a cycle in M^n . Consequently, applying the definition of z gives that $z z(\bar{x}) = y$. So $\ker f^* \subset \text{Im } z$.

Exactness at z^*

Let x be a cycle in L^{n+1} such that $x = f(k)$ for some $k \in K^{n+1}$. Then $z(x) = z f(k) = 0$. Hence, $\text{Im } f^* \subset \ker z^*$. Conversely, if x is a cycle in L^{n+1} such that $z^*(x) = 0$, then there is $\bar{x} \in M^n$ such that $d(\bar{x}) = z(x)$. So there is $y \in L^n$ satisfying $z(y) = \bar{x}$. Now $z d(y) = d z(y) = d(\bar{x}) = z(x)$. So $x - d y \in \ker z: L^{n+1} \rightarrow M^{n+1}$. Consequently the class of $f^*(k) =$ the class of x in $H^{n+1}(L)$. Thus, $\ker z^* \subset \text{Im } f^*$.

Exactness at z :

Let $\bar{x} \in L^{n+1}$ be a cycle. By the definition of z we have that $z: \text{class of } z(\bar{x}) \rightarrow 0$. So $\text{Im } z^* \subset \ker z$. Finally, suppose x is a cycle in M^{n+1} such that $z(x) = 0$ in $H^{n+2}(K)$. Let $\bar{x} \in L^{n+1}$ be such that $z(\bar{x}) = x$ and let $y \in K^{n+2}$ be such that $f(y) = d(\bar{x})$ (see the construction of z). Then y is a representative of the class of $z(x)$. As $z(x) = 0$ in $H^{n+2}(K)$, there is some $k \in K^{n+1}$ such that $d(k) = y$. Now $z(\bar{x} - f(k)) = x$. Further, $d(\bar{x} - f(k)) = d(\bar{x}) - d f(k) = d(\bar{x}) - f d(k) = d(\bar{x}) - f(y) = 0$. Consequently, $\ker z \subset \text{Im } z^*$.