

HOPF ALGEBRAS

R. VIRK

0.1. **Notation.** Let k be a field. For simplicity we will assume k to be algebraically closed. We will write \otimes for the usual tensor product over k . Further, for a k -vector space V , set $V^* = \text{Hom}_k(V, k)$. All modules considered will be *left* modules unless otherwise stated.

0.2. **Algebras and coalgebras.** An *algebra* is a k -vector space with linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} m : A \otimes A &\rightarrow A, \\ \iota : k &\rightarrow A, \end{aligned}$$

called the multiplication and the unit respectively, such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes k \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota} A \otimes A & k \otimes A \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \text{id}} A \otimes A & A \otimes A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes m} A \otimes A \\ \simeq \downarrow & \simeq \downarrow & m \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & \downarrow m \\ A \xrightarrow{\text{id}} A & A \xrightarrow{\text{id}} A & A \otimes A \xrightarrow{m} A \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the third diagram is the usual associativity axiom. Define a linear map $\sigma : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ by $a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$. Then A is commutative if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & & \\ \sigma \downarrow & \searrow m & \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m} & A \end{array}$$

commutes.

Suppose A and B are algebras with multiplication m_A and m_B respectively. Then $A \otimes B$ is an algebra with multiplication $m_{A \otimes B}$ defined to be the composite

$$A \otimes B \otimes A \otimes B \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \sigma \otimes \text{id}_B} A \otimes A \otimes B \otimes B \xrightarrow{m_A \otimes m_B} A \otimes B.$$

That is $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = (aa' \otimes bb')$.

A *coalgebra* is a k vector space with linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : A &\rightarrow A \otimes A, \\ \varepsilon : A &\rightarrow k, \end{aligned}$$

called the comultiplication and the counit respectively, such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes k \xleftarrow{\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon} A \otimes A & k \otimes A \xleftarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}} A \otimes A & A \otimes A \otimes A \xleftarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Delta} A \otimes A \\ \simeq \uparrow & \simeq \uparrow & \Delta \otimes \text{id} \uparrow & \uparrow \Delta \\ A \xleftarrow{\text{id}} A & A \xleftarrow{\text{id}} A & A \otimes A \xleftarrow{\Delta} A \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the third diagram is referred to as coassociativity. For $a \in A$ we will use Sweedler notation and write

$$\Delta(a) = \sum_a a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}.$$

Let σ be as before, a coalgebra is said to be cocommutative if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & & \\ \uparrow \sigma & \swarrow \Delta & \\ A \otimes A & \xleftarrow{\Delta} & A \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let A be an algebra. Identify $(A \otimes A)^*$ with $A^* \otimes A^*$. This induces a coalgebra structure on A^* , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : A^* &\rightarrow A^* \otimes A^*, \\ \varphi &\mapsto (a \otimes a' \mapsto \varphi(aa')). \end{aligned}$$

Dually, for a coalgebra C the coproduct induces an algebra structure on C^* . Namely, if $\varphi, \psi \in C^*$ then $(\varphi\psi)(x) = \sum_x \varphi(x_{(1)})\psi(x_{(2)})$, where $x \in C$.

Let C and D be coalgebras with coproduct Δ_C and Δ_D respectively. Then $C \otimes D$ is a coalgebra with comultiplication $\Delta_{C \otimes D}$ defined to be the composite

$$C \otimes D \xrightarrow{\Delta_C \otimes \Delta_D} C \otimes C \otimes D \otimes D \xrightarrow{\text{id}_C \otimes \sigma \otimes \text{id}_D} C \otimes D \otimes C \otimes D.$$

0.3. Convolution product. Suppose A is an algebra and C is a coalgebra. Identify $A \otimes C^*$ with $\text{Hom}_k(C, A)$. This induces a multiplication $*$, called *convolution*, on $\text{Hom}_k(C, A)$. Namely, for $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}_k(C, A)$

$$(\varphi * \psi)(x) = \sum_x \varphi(x_{(1)})\psi(x_{(2)}).$$

The identity of this ring is given by $\iota \circ \varepsilon$.

0.4. Hopf algebras. A *Hopf algebra* is a k -vector space A that is both an algebra and coalgebra such that

- (i) the comultiplication Δ and the counit ε are homomorphisms of algebras;
- (ii) the multiplication m and the unit ι are homomorphisms of coalgebras;
- (iii) A is equipped with a bijective k -module map $S : A \rightarrow A$, called the antipode, such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{S \otimes \text{id}} & A \otimes A \\ \Delta \uparrow & & \downarrow m \\ A & \xrightarrow{\iota \circ \varepsilon} & A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes S} & A \otimes A \\ \Delta \uparrow & & \downarrow m \\ A & \xrightarrow{\iota \circ \varepsilon} & A \end{array}$$

Proposition 0.1. *The antipode is an algebra and coalgebra antiautomorphism, i.e. $S(ab) = S(b)S(a)$ and $\sum_a S(a_{(2)}) \otimes S(a_{(1)}) = \sum_{S(a)} S(a)_{(1)} \otimes S(a)_{(2)}$.*

Proof. Consider $\text{Hom}(A \otimes A, A)$ as an algebra under the convolution product. Let $M, S', S'' \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes A, A)$ be the maps given by

$$M(a \otimes a') = aa', \quad S'(a \otimes a') = S(a')S(a) \quad \text{and} \quad S''(a \otimes a') = S(aa').$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} M * S'(x \otimes y) &= \sum_{x \otimes y} M((x \otimes y)_{(1)}) S'((x \otimes y)_{(2)}) \\ &= \sum_{x, y} M(x_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)}) S'(x_{(2)} \otimes y_{(2)}) \\ &= \sum_{x, y} x_{(1)} y_{(1)} S(y_{(1)}) S(x_{(2)}) \\ &= \varepsilon(x) \varepsilon(y). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} S'' * M(x \otimes y) &= \sum_{x \otimes y} S''((x \otimes y)_{(1)}) M((x \otimes y)_{(2)}) \\ &= \sum_{x, y} S''(x_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)}) M(x_{(2)} \otimes y_{(2)}) \\ &= \sum_{x, y} S(x_{(1)} y_{(1)}) (x_{(2)} y_{(2)}) \\ &= \sum_{xy} S((xy)_{(1)}) (xy)_{(2)} \\ &= \varepsilon(xy) \end{aligned}$$

Now consider $\text{Hom}(A, A \otimes A)$ as an algebra under convolution. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta * S' &= \sum_a (a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}) (S(a_{(4)}) \otimes S(a_{(3)})) \\ &= \sum_a a_{(1)} S(a_{(4)}) \otimes a_{(2)} S(a_{(3)}) \\ &= \sum_a a_{(1)} S(a_{(3)}) \otimes \varepsilon(a_{(2)}) \\ &= \sum_a a_{(1)} S(\varepsilon(a_{(2)}) a_{(3)}) \otimes 1 \\ &= \sum_a a_{(1)} S(a_{(2)}) \otimes 1 \\ &= \varepsilon(a) \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
S'' * \Delta &= \sum_a \Delta(S(a_{(1)}))\Delta(a_{(2)}) \\
&= \sum_a \Delta(S(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}) \\
&= \Delta(\varepsilon(a)) \\
&= \varepsilon(a) \otimes 1
\end{aligned}$$

□

0.5. Some representation theory. Let A be a Hopf algebra throughout. We turn the field k into an A -module via

$$a \cdot 1 = \varepsilon(a), \quad a \in A.$$

This is the *trivial representation* and by an abuse of notation is also denoted by k . The *adjoint representation* of A on itself is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ad} : A \otimes A &\rightarrow A, \\
a \otimes a' &\mapsto \sum_a a_{(1)}a'S(a_{(2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

The *regular representation* of A on itself is given by the multiplication of A . Let V be an A -module, then V^* is also an A -module via

$$a \cdot f(v) = f(S(a)v), \quad a \in A, v \in V, f \in V^*.$$

Furthermore, given A -modules V and W , $V \otimes W$ is also an A -module via

$$a \cdot (v \otimes w) = \sum_a a_{(1)}v \otimes a_{(2)}w, \quad a \in A, v \in V, w \in W.$$

We also give $\text{Hom}_k(U, V)$ an A -module structure through the identification

$$V \otimes U^* \simeq \text{Hom}_k(U, V) \quad \text{given by} \quad v \otimes u^* \mapsto (f : u' \mapsto u^*(u')v).$$

We note that it is implicit in this statement that for a module V , V^* will always be the restricted dual of V (i.e. functions with finite dimensional support).

Warning. In general $V \otimes U^*$ is *not* isomorphic to $U^* \otimes V$ as an A -module.

Let M be an A module. The *invariants* of M are elements of the submodule

$$M^A = \{m \in M \mid am = \varepsilon(a)m \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

Remark 0.2. Taking invariants is a left exact functor on the category of A -modules. Further, this functor is representable, namely $M^A \simeq \text{Hom}_A(k, M)$.

Lemma 0.3. *Let M, N be A -modules. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_A(M, N) = \text{Hom}_k(M, N)^A.$$

Proof. Suppose $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (a\varphi)(m) &= \sum_a a_{(1)}\varphi(S(a_{(2)})m) \\ &= \sum_a a_{(1)}S(a_{(2)})\varphi(m) \\ &= \varepsilon(a)\varphi(m). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_k(M, N)^A$ and $a \in A$ then

$$\begin{aligned} a\varphi(m) &= \sum_a a_{(1)}\varepsilon(a_{(2)})\varphi(m) \\ &= \sum_a a_{(1)}\varphi(\varepsilon(a_{(2)})m) \\ &= \sum_a a_{(1)}\varphi(S(a_{(2)})a_{(3)}m) \\ &= \sum_a (a_{(1)}\varphi)(a_{(2)}m) \\ &= \sum_a \varepsilon(a_{(1)})\varphi(a_{(2)}m) \\ &= \sum_a \varphi(\varepsilon(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}m) \\ &= \varphi(am). \end{aligned}$$

□

Write $\text{Ext}_A(M, -)$ for the right derived functors of $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$.

Corollary 0.4. *Let M, N be A -modules, then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) \simeq \text{Ext}_A^i(k, \text{Hom}_k(M, N))$$

Proof. We have the following isomorphism of functors

$$\text{Hom}_A(M, -) \simeq \text{Hom}_k(M, -)^A \simeq \text{Hom}_A(k, \text{Hom}_k(M, -)).$$

Thus, the corresponding derived functors are isomorphic. □

0.6. An example: Group algebras. Let G be a finite group and let $\mathbb{C}[G]$ be its group algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then $A = \mathbb{C}[G]$ is a Hopf algebra with

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad S(g) = g^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(g) = 1, \quad g \in G.$$

Set

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g.$$

The element Ω is a central in A . Furthermore, $g\Omega = \Omega g = \Omega$ for all $g \in G$. Thus, letting k denote the trivial module, we have that $\Omega A \simeq k^{\oplus |G|}$. Furthermore, as Ω is a central idempotent, $A \simeq \Omega A \oplus (1 - \Omega)A$. Hence, k is a projective module. Thus, if M is a finite dimensional A -module, then $\text{Ext}^i(k, M) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Consequently, if M and N are finite

dimensional A -modules then by Corollary 0.4 we have that $\text{Ext}^i(M, N) = 0$, $i > 0$. In particular all finite dimensional A -modules are completely reducible.

Remark 0.5. The above arguments generalize to $\mathbb{F}[G]$, where $|G|$ does not divide $\text{char } \mathbb{F}$.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WI 53706
E-mail address: `virk@math.wisc.edu`