

2 December 2009

Paul Balmer

ANU, Australia

Tensor triangulated categories

General picture $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes)$ symmetric monoidal to tensor triangulated geometry

Tensor triangulated geometry: $\text{point} = X$

Examples come from:

Algebraic geometry

Topology $(\mathcal{A})_{\text{qct}} \subseteq (\mathcal{A})_{\text{ct}} \subseteq (\mathcal{A})_{\text{st}} : (\mathbb{Z}, 0, X)$

modular representation theory

Motivic theory

Non-commutative geometry

K -triangulated category w/
bifunctor $\otimes$ and unit $\mathbb{1}$. $\otimes$ strict monoidal.
 $\otimes$ is exact.

Assume K is essentially small

* Algebraic geometry example: X quasi-compact
+ quasi-separated. $K = D^{\text{per}}(X)$.

* Topology example: stable homotopy category

* Modular representation theory: stable module
category of a finite group.

* non-commutative geometry: $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebras,
 rings of continuous functions $C(X, \mathbb{C})$
 naive notion of homotopy due to [9, 1]
 $\mathcal{K}$ = thick: subcategory generated by $\mathbb{1}$

Geometry?

$(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{1})$: $\text{spec } \text{spec}(\mathcal{K}) \cong \text{supp}(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{K}$

require 'obvious' properties ^{closed}

$$\text{supp}(0) = \emptyset ; \text{supp}(\mathbb{1}) = \text{spec}(\mathcal{K})$$

$$\text{supp}(a \oplus b) = \text{supp}(a) \cup \text{supp}(b)$$

if $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \leadsto a$ d.t. then

$$\text{supp}(c) \subset \text{supp}(a) \cup \text{supp}(b)$$

$$\text{supp}(a \otimes b) = \text{supp}(a) \cap \text{supp}(b)$$

[↑]
 some version of Nakayama's lemma

can actually make a locally ringed space
 $(\text{spec}(\mathcal{K}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}})$

if X quasi compact, quasi separated,
 scheme then

$$\text{spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{per}}(X)) \cong X$$

In modular rep. theory

$$\text{Spec}(kG\text{-stab}) \cong \mathbb{A}_G^1(k) = \text{Proj}(H^*(G, k))$$

Digression

$$\text{Spec}(K) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in K \mid \text{thick @ prime ideal} \}$$

→ original motivation for definition was NOT classical commutative ring theory, but rather required universal, 'sensible universal' properties of $\text{Spec}(K)$. Point is that really the notion of support is the important one.

construction:

$$U \subset \text{Spec}(K) \quad Z = \text{Spec}(K) \setminus U$$

$$K_Z \hookrightarrow K \twoheadrightarrow K/K_Z \hookrightarrow (K/K_Z)^{\#} := K(U)$$

idempotent completion

Thomason: if $K = D^{\text{perf}}(X)$, then $K(U) \cong D^{\text{perf}}(X)$

Endotrivial modules

(setting of modular rep. theory)
 $\text{char}(K) \nmid |G|$

endotrivial module M s.t.

$$\text{End}_K(M) = K \oplus \text{projectives}$$

i.e. $\text{End}_K(M)$ is invertible in the stable
mod- K module category.

isomorphism classes of
study $\text{Pic}(K)$, i.e. invertible objects in K .

$$\text{let } T(G) = \text{Pic}(KG\text{-stab})$$

$$\text{Thm } T(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \text{Pic}(\mathbb{Q}_G(K)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

Carlson-Nakano Is this true for G finite
group schemes?

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Z}, \ell}(K) \subseteq \text{Pic}(K)$$

$$\{a \in \text{Pic}(K) \mid \exists \text{ open cover of } \text{Spec}(K) = \bigcup_i U_i$$

s.t. $\text{res}_{U_i}(a)$ is trivial for all i ?

$a \in \text{Pic}(K)$

$$G = Q_8$$

$$\text{char } K = 2$$

$$\mathbb{P}^1_{Q_8} = \{pt\}$$

$$T(Q_8) = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}}_{\text{suspensions}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Def/Thm

K local $\iff \text{spec}(K)$ local top. space

$\iff \text{spec}(K)$ unique closed pt.

$\iff a \otimes b = 0 \implies a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0.$

Question

$\cup$ invertible $\stackrel{?}{\implies} \exists m > 0$ s.t. $u^{\otimes m}$ is trivial ($= \Sigma^n \mathbb{1}$).