

## MOTIVIC SPLITTING PRINCIPLE

RAHBAR VIRK

**1. Introduction.** Fix a field  $k$  and a commutative ring  $\Lambda$  (with 1). Assume either that  $k$  is perfect and admits resolution of singularities, or that  $k$  is arbitrary and the exponential characteristic<sup>1</sup> of  $k$  is invertible in  $\Lambda$ . Write  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  for the triangulated category of motives with  $\Lambda$ -coefficients (see §2).

We write ‘scheme’ in lieu of ‘separated scheme of finite type over  $k$ ’. For a group scheme  $G$ , a  $G$ -torsor will mean a faithfully flat  $G$ -invariant morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$ , such that the canonical morphism  $G \times X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  is an isomorphism. In this situation, we say that the *quotient* of the  $G$ -action on  $X$  exists, and we set  $X/G = Y$ . The *trivial torsor* over a scheme  $X$  is the projection  $G \times X \rightarrow X$ , with  $G$  acting on  $G \times X$  via multiplication on  $G$ . A *reductive group* will mean a smooth affine group scheme  $G$  such that every smooth connected unipotent subgroup of  $G \times_k \bar{k}$  is trivial, where  $\bar{k}$  is the algebraic closure of  $k$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected split reductive group, and let  $B \subset G$  be a Borel subgroup. Let  $X$  be a scheme with  $G$ -action. Let  $t(G)$  denote the torsion index of  $G$ . If  $t(G)$  is invertible in  $\Lambda$ , then there is an isomorphism*

$$M^c(X_{hB}) \simeq M^c(X_{hG}) \otimes M^c(G/B)$$

*in  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  that commutes with smooth pullbacks and localization triangles.*

Here  $M^c(X_{hG})$ ,  $M^c(X_{hB})$  denote the  $G$ -equivariant and  $B$ -equivariant (Borel-Moore) motives, respectively, of  $X$  (in the sense of [T4]; see §3).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is essentially the same as that of the analogous statement for the cohomology of topological spaces (for instance, compare with [M] and [T3, Theorem 16.1]). No claim to originality is being made.

**Example 1.2.** If  $X = \text{Spec}(k)$ , then Theorem 1.1 states

$$M^c(BB) \simeq M^c(BG) \otimes M^c(G/B),$$

where  $M^c(BB)$  and  $M^c(BG)$  are the motives of the classifying spaces of  $B$  and  $G$ , respectively (see [T4] and §3). This is a motivic analogue of the usual splitting principle (working with groups over the complex numbers say):

$$H^*(BB) \simeq H^*(BG) \otimes H^*(G/B),$$

where cohomology is with coefficients in a ring  $\Lambda$  in which  $p$  is invertible for all primes  $p$  such that  $H^*(G; \mathbf{Z})$  has  $p$ -torsion.

---

<sup>1</sup> If  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , then the exponential characteristic of  $k$  is 1. If  $\text{char}(k) > 0$ , then the exponential characteristic of  $k$  is  $\text{char}(k)$ .

**2. Motives.** The category  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  is the monoidal triangulated category of Nisnevich motivic spectra over  $k$ , obtained by applying  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -localization and  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -stabilization to the derived category of (unbounded) complexes of Nisnevich sheaves with transfer [CD1, Definition 11.1.1].<sup>2</sup> The primary references for the properties of  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  that we use are [V], [CD1] and [CD2]. The assumption that  $k$  is perfect and admits resolution of singularities stems from the treatment in [V]. The alternate assumption that  $k$  is arbitrary, but the exponential characteristic is invertible in  $\Lambda$ , stems from [CD2, Proposition 8.1] and [K]. These articles, amongst other things, extend the constructions of [V] under this alternate hypothesis.

There is a covariant functor  $X \mapsto M^c(X)$  from the category of schemes and proper morphisms to  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  (see [V, §2.2]; alternatively, in the notation of [CD1], we have  $M^c(X) = a_* a^! \Lambda$ , where  $a: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  is the structure morphism). The functor  $M^c(X)$  behaves like a Borel-Moore homology theory in the following sense. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a smooth morphism with fibres of dimension  $r$  (the morphism  $f$  is allowed to have some fibres empty), then there is a map (compatible with composition of morphisms):

$$f^*: M^c(Y)(r)[2r] \rightarrow M^c(X),$$

where  $(j)$  denotes tensoring with the  $j$ -th Tate twist (see [CD1, (11.1.2.2)]) and  $[i]$  denotes the  $i$ -th shift functor (available in any triangulated category). If  $f$  is a vector bundle, then  $f^*$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$  be a closed immersion, and let  $j: U \hookrightarrow X$  be the open immersion of the complement  $U = X - Z$ . Then  $i_*$  and  $j^*$  fit into a canonical distinguished triangle [V, §2.2], [CD2, Corollary 5.9, Theorem 5.11], the *localization triangle*,

$$M^c(Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} M^c(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} M^c(U) \xrightarrow{\partial_i}$$

The category  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  is a symmetric monoidal triangulated category, and

$$M^c(X \times Y) = M^c(X) \otimes M^c(Y).$$

The motive  $M^c(\text{Spec}(k))$  is the unit object. Although notationally abusive, it is convenient to set

$$\Lambda = M^c(\text{Spec}(k)).$$

Let  $H_M^i(X; \Lambda(j))$  denote the motivic cohomology groups of  $X$ , as defined in [CD1, §11.2]. These are contravariant functors from the category of schemes to  $\Lambda$ -modules. By [CD1, Example 11.2.3], if  $X$  is smooth and equidimensional, then motivic cohomology determines the Chow ring  $CH^*(X)$  of  $X$ :

$$H_M^{2j}(X; \Lambda(j)) = CH^j(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda.$$

For an arbitrary scheme  $X$ , each  $e \in H^i(X; \Lambda(j))$  determines a canonical map

$$e \cap: M^c(X)(-j)[-i] \rightarrow M^c(X).$$

---

<sup>2</sup> The category  $DM^{eff}(k; \Lambda)$  of [V] embeds into  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  as a full and faithful subcategory [CD1, Example 11.1.3]. Utilizing  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  (instead of  $DM^{eff}(k; \Lambda)$ ) is dictated by the need for arbitrary direct sums and compact generation [V, Corollary 3.5.5]. Both of these properties are required to make sense of equivariant motives (see [T4] and §3).

**Example 2.1.** Let  $f: L \rightarrow X$  be a line bundle. Write  $i: X \hookrightarrow L$  for the zero section. Let  $c_1(L) \in H_M^2(X; \Lambda(1))$  be the first Chern class of  $L$  [CD1, Definition 11.3.2]. Then  $c_1(L) \cap$  is the composition

$$M^c(X)(-1)[-2] \xrightarrow{i_*} M^c(L)(-1)[-2] \xrightarrow{f^{*-1}} M^c(X).$$

**3. Equivariant motives, following B. Totaro.** Let  $G$  be an affine group scheme. Let  $X$  be a scheme with  $G$ -action. Let  $\cdots \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_1$  be a sequence of surjections of  $G$ -equivariant vector bundles over  $X$ . Write  $n_i$  for the rank of  $V_i$ . Let  $U_i \subset V_i$  be a  $G$ -stable open subscheme such that  $V_{i+1} - U_{i+1}$  is contained in the inverse image of  $V_i - U_i$ , and such that the quotient  $U_i/G$  exists. Assume that the codimension of  $V_i - U_i$  goes to infinity with  $i$ . The *equivariant motive*  $M^c(X_{hG})$  is defined to be the homotopy limit of the sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow M^c(U_2/G)(-n_2)[-2n_2] \rightarrow M^c(U_1/G)(-n_1)[-2n_1].$$

The definition of  $M^c(X_{hG})$  is independent (up to a not necessarily unique isomorphism) of all the choices involved [T4, Theorem 8.5]. If  $X$  satisfies any of the conditions of [EG, Proposition 23], then such vector bundles exist (also see [T1, Remark 1.4] and [T4, §8]). Whenever we speak of  $M^c(X_{hG})$ , we implicitly, and without further comment, assume that such vector bundles exist (including in the statement of Theorem 1.1).

Since  $M^c(X_{hG})$  is defined as a (homotopy) limit of ordinary motives  $M^c(U_i/G)$ , the functorial properties of ordinary motives (pullback, pushforward, localization triangles, etc.) extend to the equivariant setup. By construction,  $M^c(X_{hG})$  satisfies equivariant descent: if the quotient of the  $G$ -action on  $X$  exists, then

$$M^c(X_{hG}) \simeq M^c(X/G).$$

We set

$$M^c(BG) = M^c(\mathrm{Spec}(k)_{hG}).$$

**Example 3.1.** Let  $V_i$  be the direct sum of  $i$ -copies of the natural 1-dimensional representation of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ . Let  $U_i = V_i - \{0\}$ . Then  $U_i/\mathbf{G}_m \simeq \mathbf{P}^{i-1}$ . We have [T4, Lemma 8.7]:

$$M^c(B\mathbf{G}_m) \simeq \prod_{i \leq -1} \Lambda(i)[2i].$$

**4. Restriction to a subgroup.** Let  $G$  be an affine group scheme. Let  $H \subset G$  be a closed subgroup. If the quotient  $X/G$  exists, then the quotient  $X/H$  exists. If  $G$  is smooth, then we have a pullback

$$M^c(X/G)(\dim(G/H))[2\dim(G/H)] \rightarrow M^c(X/H).$$

This family of pullbacks, one for each such  $X$ , yields a map, *restriction*,

$$\mathrm{res}_G^H: M^c(Y_{hG})(\dim(G/H))[2\dim(G/H)] \rightarrow M^c(Y_{hH}),$$

for any scheme  $Y$  with  $G$ -action. Restriction commutes with smooth pullbacks and localization triangles.

**5. Chow ring of a classifying space.** Let  $G$  be an affine group scheme. Following [T1], define the Chow ring  $CH_G^*$  of the classifying space of  $G$  as follows. Let  $V$  be a representation of  $G$  over  $k$ . Let  $U \subset V$  be an open subscheme such that the quotient  $U/G$  exists, and such that  $V - U$  has codimension greater than  $i$ . Then  $CH_G^i = CH^i(U/G)$ . This definition is independent of all the choices involved [T1, Theorem 1.1] and gives a well-defined ring  $CH_G^*$ . It follows from the definition that each  $e \in CH_G^i$  determines a canonical map

$$e \cap : M^c(X_{hG})(-i)[-2i] \rightarrow M^c(X_{hG}),$$

for a scheme  $X$  with an action of  $G$ .

By faithfully flat descent, each representation of  $G$  over  $k$  determines a vector bundle over the schemes  $U/G$  used to define  $CH_G^*$ . Consequently, each such representation has Chern classes in  $CH_G^*$ .

**Example 5.1.** Let  $T$  be a split torus. Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $T$ , with first Chern class  $c_1(\chi) \in CH_T^1$ . Let  $X$  be a scheme with  $T$ -action. Then  $\chi$  determines an equivariant line bundle  $L_\chi \rightarrow X$ . If the quotient  $X/T$  exists, then  $L_\chi$  descends to a line bundle  $\tilde{L}_\chi \rightarrow X/T$ . In this situation, the map

$$c_1(\chi) \cap : M^c(X_{hT})(-1)[-2] \rightarrow M^c(X_{hT})$$

is the composition

$$M^c(X_{hT})(-1)[-2] \xrightarrow{\sim} M^c(X/T)(-1)[-2] \xrightarrow{c_1(\tilde{L}_\chi) \cap} M^c(X/T) \xrightarrow{\sim} M^c(X_{hT}),$$

where the first and last isomorphisms are taken to be inverse to each other.

**6. The torsion index.** Let  $G$  be a connected split reductive group over  $k$ . Let  $B \subset G$  be a Borel subgroup. The *torsion index* of  $G$  is the smallest integer  $t(G) \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$  such that the image of the map  $CH_B^* \rightarrow CH^*(G/B)$  contains  $t(G) \cdot CH^{\dim(G/B)}(G/B)$ . The natural map,

$$CH_B^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}[t(G)^{-1}] \rightarrow CH^*(G/B) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}[t(G)^{-1}],$$

is surjective. According to [G, Théorème 2], for any  $G$ -torsor  $X \rightarrow Y$ , there is a non-empty open subscheme  $U \subset Y$  along with a finite étale morphism  $V \rightarrow U$  of degree invertible in  $\mathbf{Z}[t(G)^{-1}]$ , such that  $X$  is trivial over  $V$ .<sup>3</sup>

**Example 6.1.** The group  $GL_n$  has torsion index 1.

**7. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** (Compare with [M] and the proof of [T3, Theorem 16.1]). Pick elements  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in CH^*(BB) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda$ , of homogeneous degree, that restrict to a basis of  $CH^*(G/B) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda$ . Write  $d_i$  for the degree of  $e_i$ . Set  $d = \dim(G/B)$ . For each  $e_i$ , consider the composition

$$M^c(X_{hG})(d - d_i)[2(d - d_i)] \xrightarrow{\text{res}_G^B} M^c(X_{hB})(-d_i)[-2d_i] \xrightarrow{e_i \cap} M^c(X_{hB}).$$

Summing these, we obtain a map

$$\bigoplus_i M^c(X_{hG})(d - d_i)[2(d - d_i)] \rightarrow M^c(X_{hB}).$$

<sup>3</sup> The point is that, if one stays away from primes that divide  $t(G)$ , then all the challenges of ‘étale descent’ for equivariant Chow groups disappear. For further information on the torsion index, [T2] is highly recommended.

By the Bruhat decomposition, this may be rewritten as a map

$$\theta: M^c(X_{hG}) \otimes M^c(G/B) \rightarrow M^c(X_{hB}).$$

The map  $\theta$  commutes with smooth pullbacks and localization triangles. We will show  $\theta$  is an isomorphism. It suffices to demonstrate this under the assumption that the quotient  $X/G$  exists. Via the isomorphisms  $M^c(X_{hG}) \simeq M^c(X/G)$  and  $M^c(X_{hB}) \simeq M^c(X/B)$ , the map  $\theta$  yields a map

$$\theta_X: M^c(X/G) \otimes M^c(G/B) \rightarrow M^c(X/B).$$

If  $X \rightarrow X/G$  is the trivial  $G$ -torsor, then  $\theta_X$  is manifestly an isomorphism. In general, there exists a non-empty open subscheme  $U \subset X/G$ , along with a finite étale morphism  $f: V \rightarrow U$  of degree invertible in  $\mathbf{Z}[t(G)^{-1}]$ , such that  $X$  pulled back to  $V$  is the trivial  $G$ -torsor (see §6). The map  $f_*f^*: M^c(U) \rightarrow M^c(U)$  is the degree of  $f$  times the identity (as follows from [CD1, A.5 (6)] and [CD1, Proposition 11.2.5]). Consequently,  $\theta_U$  is an isomorphism. Now let  $Z = X - U$  be the closed complement (with reduced scheme structure say). Then, by virtue of the localization triangle, it suffices to show  $\theta_Z$  is an isomorphism. This follows from an induction on dimension (the base case has been dealt with by the above considerations).

**8. A complement.** The Chow ring  $CH_B^*$  acts on  $M^c(X_{hB})$ . Under the isomorphism

$$M^c(X_{hB}) \simeq M^c(X_{hG}) \otimes M^c(G/B),$$

this action on the right hand side is the action of  $CH_B^*$  on  $M^c(G/B)$ . Further, if we let  $d = \dim(G/B)$  (this is being done for purely typographical reasons), then the restriction map,

$$\text{res}_G^B: M^c(X_{hG})(d)[2d] \rightarrow M^c(X_{hB}),$$

also has a simple description under this isomorphism. It is the composition

$$M^c(X_{hG})(d)[2d] \xrightarrow{\sim} M^c(X_{hG}) \otimes \Lambda(d)[2d] \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes a^*} M^c(X_{hG}) \otimes M^c(G/B),$$

where  $a: G/B \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  is the structure morphism.

## REFERENCES

- [CD1] D-C. CISINSKI, F. DÉGLISE, *Triangulated categories of mixed motives*, arXiv:0912.2110v3.
- [CD2] D-C. CISINSKI, F. DÉGLISE, *Integral mixed motives in equal characteristic*, arXiv:1410.6359v2.
- [EG] D. EDIDIN, W. GRAHAM, *Equivariant intersection theory*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), 595-634.
- [G] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Torsion homologique et sections rationnelles*, Séminaire Claude Chevalley **3** (1958), Exposé 5, 1-29.
- [K] S. KELLY, *Triangulated categories of motives in positive characteristic*, arXiv:1305.5349v2.
- [M] J.P. MAY, *A note on the splitting principle*, available at <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~may/PAPERS/Split.pdf>.
- [T1] B. TOTARO, *The Chow ring of a classifying space*, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. **67** (1999), 249-281.
- [T2] B. TOTARO, *The torsion index of the spin groups*, Duke Math. J. **129** (2005), 219-248.
- [T3] B. TOTARO, *Group cohomology and Algebraic Cycles*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **204** (2014).
- [T4] B. TOTARO, *The motive of a classifying space*, arXiv:1407.1366v2.
- [V] V. VOEVODSKY, *Triangulated categories of motives over a field*, available at [www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0074/](http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0074/).